WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AML/CFT IN YOUR INSTITUTION?

Governor: 2%
Vice-Governor: 4%
Director-General: 5%
Director: 32%
Chief: 22%

HAS YOUR CENTRAL BANK IMPLEMENTED AN AML/CFT RISK-BASED APPROACH FOR ITS OWN OPERATIONS?

YES 86%
NO 14%

HAS YOUR AUTHORITY PUT IN PLACE A MAPPING OF MONEY LAUNDERING AND TERRORIST FINANCING RISKS IN THE BANKING SECTOR?

YES 15%
NO 85%

DOES YOUR AUTHORITY GIVE AN INDIVIDUAL AML/CFT RISK RATING FOR EACH COMMERCIAL BANK SUPERVISED IN YOUR COUNTRY?

YES 80%
NO 20%

WHICH INSTITUTIONS ARE SUBJECT TO AML/CFT OBLIGATIONS IN YOUR COUNTRY?

Commercial banks: 100%
Investment firms: 86%
Public banks: 80%
Insurance companies: 41%
Payment institutions: 100%
Microcredit institutions: 86%
Crypto currency platforms: 80%

WHAT ARE THE ELEMENTS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN YOUR RISK-BASED AML/CFT APPROACH?

Countries or territories of origin or destination of funds: 97%
Nature of products and services offered: 100%
Distribution channels: 90%
Terms and conditions of transactions: 87%

DOES YOUR AUTHORITY CONSIDER THAT COMMERCIAL BANKS IN YOUR COUNTRY SATISFACTORILY APPLY THE FOLLOWING AML/CFT ELEMENTS?

Identifying the customer in business relations: 92%
Vigilance with regard to correspondent bank: 93%
Vigilance with regard to politically exposed persons: 93%
Remote client identification: 89%
Identification of the beneficial owner: 67%

ARE TOOLS FOR THE AUTOMATED DETECTION OF ATYPICAL OPERATIONS IMPLEMENTED?

NO 85%
YES 15%

DOES YOUR AUTHORITY GIVE UTILITY-RATING TO CUSTOMERS IN ORDER TO DETECT FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR?

NO 85%
YES 15%

DATA CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE CALCULATED FROM PARTICIPANTS’ RESPONSES TO A QUESTIONNAIRE CIRCULATED PRIOR TO THE CONFERENCE. NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE TO VERIFY ACCURACY. DEFINITIONS AND METHODOLOGIES DIFFER ACROSS JURISDICTIONS.