

Productivity and Misallocation in General Equilibrium

DAVID REZZA BAQAEE & EMMANUEL FARHI

Discussion by Franck Portier
University College London

Monetary Policy Challenges Conference
Banque de France & Deutsche Bundesbank
June 21, 2018, Paris

- ▶ Fascinating set of papers by David & Emmanuel
- ▶ Exploration of aggregation results in economies with non-trivial I-O structure
- ▶ A set of non-intuitive (at first pass) results, that they are able to communicate and explain very well
- ▶ One does learn from reading their work (although it is not simple)

Short Recent History of I-O Structure in Macro

- ▶ The Long & Plosser (1983) model
 - × Cobb-Douglas multi-sector economy with analytical solution
 - × *iid* sectoral productivity shocks create BC-like fluctuations
 - × $N = 6$ sectors
- ▶ What about the law of large numbers if $N \rightarrow \infty$?
- ▶ Horvath (1998) :
 - × the rate at which the law of large numbers applies is controlled by the rate of increase in the number of full rows in the input-use matrix
 - × rather than by the rate of increase in the total number of sectors
- ▶ Dupor (1998) “Aggregation and irrelevance in multi-sector models”
 - × Observational equivalence between single and multi-sector Brock-Mirman models.
 - × Irrelevance proposition: Different input-output matrices generate exactly the same spectrum for aggregate variables
 - × \rightsquigarrow end of the story (?)

Short Recent History of I-O Structure in Macro

- ▶ Gabaix (2011): some very large (granular) firms \rightsquigarrow aggregate volatility.
- ▶ Acemoglu et al. (2012): with I-O linkages, the equilibrium size of firms will depend on the shape of the input-output matrix
- ▶ Here, David & Emmanuel address the aggregation problem in an inefficient economy

Hulten Theorem

- ▶ Hulten Theorem

$$\frac{\Delta Y}{Y} - \sum_f \Lambda_f \frac{\Delta L_f}{L_f} \approx \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\Delta TFP_i}{TFP_i},$$

- ▶ λ_i : share of sector i sales in GDP
- ▶ David & Emmanuel :

$$d \log Y = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Y}}{\partial \log A} d \log A}_{\Delta \text{Technology}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Y}}{\partial \mathcal{X}} d \mathcal{X}}_{\Delta \text{Allocative Efficiency}} .$$

- ▶ Second term is zero in undistorted economies, but not in distorted ones
- ▶ Therefore, the very details of the I-O matrix matter, and not only the Domar weight (sales share as a fraction of GDP)

Growth Accounting in an Inefficient Economy with an I-O Structure

- ▶ Spectacular result :
 - × US 1997-2015,
 - × Allocative efficiency has improved, and accounts for about 50% of TFP growth,
 - × Even though (if fact *because*) markups have increased
 - × Explanation : markups on average have increased because firms that charge large markups have gotten larger.
 - × Firms that had large markups were too small from an allocative efficiency point of view
 - × \rightsquigarrow allocative efficiency has increases if their size has increase.
- ▶ But of course, at the same time, the gains from reducing markups have increased (equivalent to a 20% increase in TFP)

Focusing on Business Cycles

- ▶ I have one interrogation that I am not sure I can clearly formulate (but it sometimes wakes me up at night)
- ▶ Business cycles are not the focus of that paper
- ▶ I miserably failed trying to get some results, even in super simple two-firms economies
- ▶ Let's agree (?) that Business cycles are ultimately about the fluctuations in the intensity of factors usage
- ▶ For short, it is about fluctuations in hours worked
- ▶ I-O linkages are essentially rounds of production of goods with other goods
- ▶ The literature has focussed on quantities (Value-added, firms size, etc...)
- ▶ How important is the I-O structure for fluctuations in hours worked?
- ▶ Am I wrong to think that it does not matter much?

