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Corporate saving accounts for largest share of CA differential between surplus and deficit AE’s

1/ Surplus (deficit) countries are those that ran surpluses (deficits) in 2008.
Corporate sector became a net lender in major AEs...

Source: OECD Sectoral National Accounts.
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Corp. saving used increasingly for the accumulation of cash

Source: Sectoral National Accounts from OECD and Eurostat.
Globalization accelerated

Source: Subramanian and Kessler (2013). World exports, in% of GDP.
New aggregate stylized fact: cash holding higher with stronger export orientation.

Notes: Eurostat and OECD Sectoral Balance Sheet data. Each observation is a country-year, absorbing the country FE. Sample: G7+5 OECD countries, 1995-2014.
Contribution

Research question

Why are firms saving/holding more liquid assets as globalization advances?

- Offer a theoretical framework for linking rise in globalization with increased cash holding.
- Test empirical predictions using international firm-level data

**R&D and cash holding**: Falato et al. (2013), Pinkovitz et al. (2016), Lyandres and Palazzo (2015), Ma et al. (2017)

⇒ Our paper: connects these 2 branches of literature.

Theory
Sketch of a Model

- We set up a heterogenous firms trade model (Melitz, 2003) with investment in innovation (Holmström and Tirole, 1998).
  - Innovation helps improve productivity
  - Innovation activity involves liquidity risk (Aghion et al. 2010, Holmström and Tirole, 1998; Eisfeldt and Papanikolaou, 2013)
  - Firms cannot borrow when liquidity shock hits (Brown and Petersen, 2011) - later relaxed.

Prediction:
- More export opportunities → more returns to innovation → need for more cash to absorb larger liquidity shocks
- More pronounced for firms with higher initial productivity (more likely to succeed in innovation and export).
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Timing of events

- **t=0**
  - Produce and earn $\pi(\phi_0)$ domestically
- **t=1**
  - Liquidity shock, $\rho \sim \text{cdf } F(\rho)$
  - Innovate at cost $I$
  - Continue
- **t=2**
  - New productivity draw, $\phi \sim g(\phi)$
  - $\phi > \phi^*$
    - Export and earn $\pi(\phi) + \pi_X(\phi) > \pi(\phi)$
    - $\phi < \phi^*$
      - Abandon

- **Export and earn** $\pi(\phi) > \pi(\phi_0)$ domestically
Key ingredients of the model

- Returns from innovation depend positively on $\phi_0$:

$$E(R(\phi_0)) = E(\pi) - \pi_0 = \frac{M \xi}{\kappa - \xi} \phi_0^\xi + \frac{\kappa f_x}{\kappa - \xi} \phi^*_X(f_x, \tau, M^x)^{-\kappa} \phi_0^\kappa$$

- Optimal cash holding max. NPV of innovation:

$$\max_{\rho_1} NPV = \max_{\rho_1} \int_0^{\rho_1} \left[ E(R(\phi_0)) - \rho \right] f(\rho) d\rho - I$$

$$\to \rho_1 = E(R(\phi_0))$$
Rising export opportunities increase cash holding, esp. for most productive firms

\[ \rho^* \]

\[ \rho \approx \text{E}(R(\phi_{low})) \]

\[ \rho \approx \text{E}(R(\phi_{low})) \]

\[ \tau \downarrow, M \uparrow \]

Innovating firms

Innovating firms

\[ \phi_{low} \]

\[ \phi_{low} \]
Formal set-up of full model (relax borrowing restr.)

The optimal contract, following Holmström and Tirole (1998):

\[
\max_{\rho^*, \eta} \int_{0}^{\rho^*} E_G(R_f) f(\rho) d\rho
\]

subject to:

\[
\int_{0}^{\rho^*} [E_G(\pi) - E_G(R_f) - \rho] f(\rho) d\rho = I
\]

\[
E_G(R_f) = \eta E_G(\pi^{D})
\]

\[
\eta \geq \eta_{min}
\]
Export opportunities increase cash holding...

Innovating firms

\[ \rho^* = \rho^1 \]
Model result

- **Result 1**: Only firms with sufficiently high productivity innovate and hold additional liquidity (to insure against innovation cost overrun).
- **Result 2**: Innovating firms with higher initial productivity hold more cash (to insure against larger liquidity overrun).
- **Result 3**: Globalization raises liquidity holding and spending on innovation, particularly for more productive firms.
Empirics
Firm-level data

- Worldscope 1995-2014; mostly consolidated corporate balance sheet data for listed firms
- France, Germany, Japan, UK, US
- variables winsorized at 1%
- Cash & short-term investments
  - currency
  - checks
  - time deposits
  - letters of credit
  - commercial paper
  - treasury bills
  - stocks, bonds and other marketable securities
  - money market fund shares
A broad-based increase in cash holding
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...and most pronounced for R&D intensive firms
High cash ratio firms have higher (and rising) share of SG&A spending.
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Trade data 1

- Trade data
  - WIOD database
  - Bilateral trade at ISIC-2 level
    - Import shock from China: imports from China in % of country-sector-level output (e.g., Autor et al. (2017); Bloom et al. (2017))
      \[
      SHOCK_{jct}^{imp} = \left( \frac{\text{Total Imports from China}}{\text{Total Output}} \right)_{jct}.
      \]
    - Export shock to China: exports to China in % of country-sector-level output (e.g., Mayer et al. (2017); Ahn and Duval (2017))
      \[
      SHOCK_{jct}^{exp} = \left( \frac{\text{Total Exports to China}}{\text{Total Output}} \right)_{jct},
      \]
- Mapping: SIC code of the firm’s largest line of business
- Instrumented by other countries’ export/import shares
Trade data 2

- Trade data
  - UN Comtrade database
  - Bilateral trade at HS6 product-level, aggregated to SIC-4 level
  - Import shock from China: share of imports from China in total imports
  - Export shock to China: share of exports to China in total exports

- Matching with firm data
  - SIC codes of the firm’s two largest lines of business, weighted by sales

\[
SHOCK_{ijct}^{exp} = \sum_j \omega_i^s (ChinaExport)_{jct},
\]
\[
SHOCK_{ijct}^{imp} = \sum_j \omega_i^s (ChinaImport)_{jct},
\]

- Instrumented by other countries’ data
Tariff data

- **Tariff data**
  - TRAINS database
  - MFN rate at HS6 product-level, aggregated to SIC-2 level
    - Import tariffs: domestic country’s tariffs
    - Export tariffs: import tariffs domestic exporters face in destination countries, weighted by 1995 export trade flows

- **Matching with firm data**
  - SIC codes of the firm’s two largest lines of business, weighted by sales

\[
\tau_{ic}^{EXP} = \sum_s \omega_{ic}^s \tau_{cst}^{EXP},
\]

\[
\tau_{ic}^{IMP} = \sum_s \omega_{ic}^s \tau_{cst}^{IMP},
\]
The evolving role of China in global trade

Sources: UN Comtrade and authors’ calculations; Country-SIC 2digit level distribution.
Global tariff liberalization

Sources: UN Comtrade, TRAINS, and authors’ calculations; Country-SIC 2digit level distribution.
Econometric specification

firm-level regressions

\[ Y_{ijct} = \beta_{\text{exp}}^{\text{SHOCK}}(i)_{jct-1} + \beta_{\text{imp}}^{\text{SHOCK}}(i)_{jct-1} + \Theta Z_{ict-1} + FE + \epsilon_{ijct}, \]

with

- \( Y \): R&D-to-Sales or Cash-to-Asset ratio (in logs)
- \( Z \): including other firm characteristics such as sales, operating cash flows, etc.
- \( FE \): firm, country-year, sector-year fixed effects

additional interactions with proxies for \( \phi_0 \): initial productivity

- Total Assets
- Labor Productivity (Sales/Employee)
- Likelihood to export (Percent of foreign sales)
- Profits (Net income/Employee)
## Table: Globalization and Cash Holding: China Shocks; 2SLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) No interaction</th>
<th>(2) X=SIZE</th>
<th>(3) X=SIZE</th>
<th>(4) X=SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK</td>
<td>8.209***</td>
<td>7.229***</td>
<td>-5.435***</td>
<td>-5.187***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.758)</td>
<td>(0.987)</td>
<td>(1.224)</td>
<td>(1.646)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK_X2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>3.131**</td>
<td>2.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.280)</td>
<td>(1.342)</td>
<td>(1.967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK_X3</td>
<td>2.752*</td>
<td>8.177***</td>
<td>8.042***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.483)</td>
<td>(1.456)</td>
<td>(2.098)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.193)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK_X2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.244)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK_X3</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.268)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dep. var: expSHOCK</th>
<th>F-stat.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK(IV)</td>
<td>1.248***[0.058]</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Firm FE     | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Country-year FE | N | N | Y | Y |
N            | 57172 | 57172 | 57172 | 57172 |

Notes: All columns control for total sales and operating cash flow. Tercile dummy variables based on total assets are also included in columns 2-4. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at country-sector-year level. (*) \( p < 0.10 \), (**) \( p < 0.05 \), (***) \( p < 0.01 \)
Greater export shock is associated with higher cash holding, but only for top tercile firms.
Table: Globalization and Cash Holding: Tariff; OLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) No interaction</th>
<th>(2) X=SIZE</th>
<th>(3) X=SIZE</th>
<th>(4) X=SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>expMFN</td>
<td>-3.106***</td>
<td>-1.753***</td>
<td>1.330***</td>
<td>1.008**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.602)</td>
<td>(0.541)</td>
<td>(0.467)</td>
<td>(0.476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expMFN_X2</td>
<td>-1.393**</td>
<td>-1.320***</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.531)</td>
<td>(0.476)</td>
<td>(0.622)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expMFN_X3</td>
<td>-2.058***</td>
<td>-2.063***</td>
<td>-1.655**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.761)</td>
<td>(0.610)</td>
<td>(0.675)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impMFN</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.820)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impMFN_X2</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.965)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impMFN_X3</td>
<td>-1.735**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.911)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-year FE</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector-year FE</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>45873</td>
<td>45873</td>
<td>45854</td>
<td>44162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All columns include total sales and operating cash flows. Tercile dummy variables based on total assets are also included but not reported in columns 2-4. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at two levels (country-year and sector-year). (* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01)
### Table: Channel of Globalization and Cash Holding 1: China Shocks; 2SLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=SIZE</td>
<td>X=SIZE</td>
<td>X=SIZE</td>
<td>X=SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>innovative firms</td>
<td>non-innovative firms</td>
<td>DV=R&amp;D/sales</td>
<td>DV=SG&amp;A/sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK</td>
<td>-6.977***</td>
<td>3.537</td>
<td>-11.06***</td>
<td>-7.220***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.760)</td>
<td>(5.963)</td>
<td>(2.960)</td>
<td>(1.489)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK_X2</td>
<td>2.957</td>
<td>8.067</td>
<td>11.74***</td>
<td>8.940***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.376)</td>
<td>(7.624)</td>
<td>(3.519)</td>
<td>(1.642)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expSHOCK_X3</td>
<td>8.747***</td>
<td>17.45</td>
<td>19.35***</td>
<td>11.56***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.164)</td>
<td>(10.80)</td>
<td>(3.710)</td>
<td>(1.839)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK</td>
<td>-0.0276</td>
<td>-0.0784</td>
<td>1.409**</td>
<td>0.494**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.248)</td>
<td>(0.349)</td>
<td>(0.561)</td>
<td>(0.194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK_X2</td>
<td>-0.0926</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>-0.843</td>
<td>-0.434*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.400)</td>
<td>(0.428)</td>
<td>(0.715)</td>
<td>(0.223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impSHOCK_X3</td>
<td>-0.230</td>
<td>0.0977</td>
<td>-2.056***</td>
<td>-0.630**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.353)</td>
<td>(0.505)</td>
<td>(0.751)</td>
<td>(0.259)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firm FE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>43782</td>
<td>13390</td>
<td>36782</td>
<td>51474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at country-sector-year level.

- Confirms the innovation channel through which globalization affects cash holding.
### Table: Cash flow volatility and globalization shocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) <strong>All firms</strong></th>
<th>(2) <strong>SG&amp;A &lt; median</strong></th>
<th>(3) <strong>SG&amp;A &gt; median</strong></th>
<th>(4) <strong>SG&amp;A &gt; 75th percentile</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>exp.SHOCK</td>
<td>-3.696***</td>
<td>-0.401**</td>
<td>-7.409*</td>
<td>-31.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.862)</td>
<td>(0.172)</td>
<td>(4.132)</td>
<td>(17.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp.SHOCK_X2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.506***</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>7.143*</td>
<td>29.29*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.785)</td>
<td>(0.210)</td>
<td>(3.942)</td>
<td>(16.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp.SHOCK_X3</td>
<td>3.440*</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>7.043*</td>
<td>30.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.883)</td>
<td>(0.193)</td>
<td>(4.218)</td>
<td>(17.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imp.SHOCK_X1</td>
<td>0.477*</td>
<td>0.0361*</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>4.879*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.282)</td>
<td>(0.0197)</td>
<td>(0.671)</td>
<td>(2.941)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imp.SHOCK_X2</td>
<td>-0.498*</td>
<td>-0.00941</td>
<td>-1.091</td>
<td>-4.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.290)</td>
<td>(0.0327)</td>
<td>(0.694)</td>
<td>(2.894)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imp.SHOCK_X3</td>
<td>-0.498*</td>
<td>-0.0232</td>
<td>-1.065</td>
<td>-5.069*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.297)</td>
<td>(0.0429)</td>
<td>(0.688)</td>
<td>(3.047)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>36430</td>
<td>16997</td>
<td>18901</td>
<td>10845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Dependent variable is standard deviation of cash flow/asset ratio over the subsequent 5 years.

- Confirms the liquidity risk channel through which globalization affects cash holding.
Robustness checks

- Use other proxies for firms’ initial productivity (Sales/Emp, Net income/Emp) and likelihood of exporting (Shr of foreign sales)
- Concern for remaining endogeneity in the “China shock”, correlation with simultaneous technological trend not completely captured by FE’s.
  - tariff-based measure for trade (exp/imp) liberalization addresses this
  - Including country-sector-year FE in firm-spec. and tariff regressions

- Alternative explanations for main findings?
  - Tax incentive
    - controlling for effective tax rates (e.g., Markle and Shackelford, 2012)
  - M&A motive (can observe)
  - share buybacks (control for change in shares outstanding)
We find consistent evidence that increased export opportunities provide motive for cash holding and innovation/intangible investment.

Economic magnitudes: Our mechanism can explain up to 33-40 percent of the increase in the median cash ratio among largest firms between 2001-2014.

Policy implications:
- structural increase in demand for liquidity may partly drive the increase in corporate saving
- driver benign, BUT can lead to inefficient industrial concentration and subsequent lower competition/investment (secular stagnation)
- implications for external imbalances
Background material: cash ratio by foreign asset intensity
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